A NONLOCAL PROBLEM WITH BITSADZE-SAMARSKII CONDITIONS ON CHARACTERISTICS OF A DIFFERENT FAMILY FOR A PARABOLIC-HYPERBOLIC EQUATION

Vafoev S.S

Nurafshon branch of Tashkent university of information technologies named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi

Abstract. In this paper, we study the second-order differential invariants of submersions with respect to the group of conformal transformations Euclidian spaces. In particular, it is proved that the ratio of principal surface curvatures is a second-order differential invariant with respect to the group of conformal transformations.

Keywords: Conformal transformation, differential invariants, submersion, vector field.

MSC (2010): 53C12, 57R25, 57R35

1 Statement of the problem We (1.1) $0 = Lu \equiv \begin{cases} u_{xx} - u_y, (x, y) \in D_1, \\ u_{xx} - u_{yy}, (x, y) \in D_2 \bigcup D_3 \end{cases}$ consider the equation

where D_1 is one connected domain bounded by the segments *AB*, *BB*₀, *B*₀*A*₀, *A*₀*A* on the lines y = 0, x = 1, y = h, x = 0, respectively; D_2 is a characteristic triangle bounded by the segment *AB* of axis *Ox* and with the characteristics $AC_1 : x + y = 0$, $BC_2 : x - y = 1$ of equation (1) issuing from the points *A*(0,0) and *B*(1,0), intersecting at a point $C_1(\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}); D_3$ is the characteristic triangle also, bounded by the segment *AA*₀ of axis *Oy* and with two characteristics $AC_2 : x + y = 0$, $A_0C_2 : y - x = 1$ of equation (1.1) issuing from the points *A*(0,0) and *A*₀(0,*h*), intersecting at a point $C_2(-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$.

We introduce the notations: $J \equiv AB = \{(x,y) : 0 < x < 1, y = 0\},\$

$$I \equiv AA_0 = \{(x,y) : x = 0, 0 < y < h\}, D_1 = D \setminus \{x > 0, y > 0\},$$
$$D_2 = D \setminus \{x > 0, y < 0\}, D_3 = D \setminus \{x < 0, y > 0\}, D = D_1 [D_2 [D_3 [J [I, I_1 = \{(x,y) : x = 0, 0 < y < k_2], I_2 = \{(x,y) : x = 0, k_2 < y < 1\}, k_2 \in I,$$

$$J_1 = \{(x,y) : 0 < x < k_1, y = 0\}, J_2 = \{(x,y) : k_1 < x < 1, y = 0\}, k_1 \in J.$$

Let $P_1(P_2)$ and $Q_1(Q_2)$ denote, respectively, the points of intersection of the characteristics $AC_1(AC_2)$ and $BC_1(DC_2)$ with characteristics coming from points $E_1(k_1, 0) \in J(E_2(0,k_2) \in I)$,

$$\theta_1(x) = (x/2; -x/2), \theta_1^*(x) = ((x+k_1)/2; (k_1-x)/2), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\theta_2(y) = (-y/2; y/2), \theta_2^*(y) = ((k_2-y)/2; (k_2+y)/2) \quad (1.3)$$

 $\theta_1(x)(\theta_2(y))$ is the point of intersection of the characteristic $AC_1(AC_2)$ with a characteristic emerging from a point $M_1(x,0)(\tilde{M}_1(0,y))$,

 $\begin{pmatrix} x,0 \end{pmatrix} \in J_1((0,y) \in I_1), \ \theta_1^*(x)(\theta_2^*(y)) \text{ is the point of intersection of a } \\ \begin{pmatrix} E_1Q_1(E_2Q_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{ characteristic with a characteristic emerging from a point } \\ M_2(x,0) \ \tilde{M_2}(0,y)(x,0) \in J_2((0,y) \in I_2). \end{cases}$

The present paper is devoted to the investigation of the problem with Bitsadze-Samarskii conditions (see [1]) on characteristics AP_j and characteristics AC_j , E_jQ_j (j = 1,2) as one family.

BS-Problem. To find a function u(x,y) in the domain D with the following properties:

1) $u(x,y) \in C(D^{-});$

2) $u(x,y) \in Cx, y2, 1 \ (D1 \ S \ AB \ S \ A0B0) TCx, y2, 2 \ (Dj \setminus (EjPj \ SEjQj)), \text{ satisfies}$ equation (1) in the domains D_1 and $D_j \setminus (E_j P_j \ ^S E_j Q_j), \ (j = 2, 3);$

3) $u_y \in C(D_1^S J_1^S J_2)^T C(D_2^S J_1^S J_2)$ and on the intervals

 $J_j(j = 1, 2)$ takes place gluing condition:

 $\lim_{y \to -0} u_y(x, y) = \lim_{y \to +0} u_y(x, y), (x, 0) \in J_1 \bigcup J_2.$ (1.4)

5) u(x,y) satisfies the boundary

conditions

$$u|_{x=1} = \phi_1(y), 0 \le y \le h, \tag{1.5}$$

$$a_1(x)u[\theta_1(x)] + b_1(x)u(x,0) = c_1(x), (x,0)$$

$$\in \bar{J}_1, \qquad (1.6)$$

$$a_2(y)u[\theta_2(y)] + b_2(y)u(0,y) = c_2(y), (0,y)$$

 $\in I_1,$

of a different family for a parabolic-hyperbolic equation 3

$$u [\theta_1 (x)] = \mu_1 u [\theta_1^*(x)] + \delta_1(x), \quad (x, 0) \in J_2$$

$$u [\theta_2 (y)] = \mu_2 u [\theta_2^*(y)] + \delta_2(y), \quad (0, y) \in \bar{I}_2,$$
(1.9)

where
$$\phi_1(y)$$
, $\delta_j(t)$, $a_j(t)$, $b_j(t)$, $c_j(t)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ are given functions, and
 $\mu_j 6= 1, c_j(k_j) = a_j(k_j)\delta_j(k_j)(j = 1, 2), c_1(0) = c_2(0) = 0, (1.10)$
 $a_{j}^2(t) + b_{j}^2(t) 6= 0, a_j(t) + 2b_j(t) > 0, \forall t \in [0, k_j], (1.11)$
 $\phi_1(y) \in C [0,h] \setminus C^1(0,h), \delta_1(x) \in C^1(\overline{J_2}) \setminus C^3(J_2), \delta_2(y) \in C^1(\overline{J_2}) \setminus C^3(I_2),$
(1.12)

(1.7)

 $a_j(t), b_j(t), c_j(t), \in C [0, k_j] C^2(0, k_j), (j = 1, 2).$ (1.13) Notice, that

- Conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are Bitsadze - Samarskii conditions on the characteristics AP_i .

- Conditions (1.8) and (1.9) are mixing condition, where the non-local condition point wise links the values of the desired solution to the parallel characteristics AC_j and E_jQ_j (j = 1,2).

Well known, that the analogs of the Tricomi problem for equation (1) have been studied in [3] - [5]. The *BS*-problem for equation (1.1) has not previously been investigated.

2 The main functional relations

In the study of the BS-problem, an important role is played functional relations between $v_1(x)(v_2(y))$ and $\tau_1(x)(\tau_2(y))$ from the parabolic and hyperbolic parts of the domain *D*, where

$$u(x,0) = \tau_1(x), \quad (x,0) \in \overline{J}, \lim_{y \to 0} u_y(x,y) = \nu_1(x), \quad (x,0) \in J, \quad (2.1)$$

 $u(0,y) = \tau_2(y), \quad (0,y) \in \overline{I}, \lim_{x \to 0} u_x(x,y) = \nu_2(y), \quad (0,y) \in I.$ (2.2)

As we know [6], the solution of the Cauchy problem with initial conditions (2.1) for equation (1.1) in the domain D_2 has the form:

$$u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tau_1 \left(x + y \right) + \tau_1 \left(x - y \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-y}^{x+y} \nu_1 \left(t \right) dt.$$
(2.3)

By (1.2) from (2.3) we obtain

$$u\left[\theta_{1}\left(x\right)\right] = u\left[\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\tau_{1}(0) + \tau_{1}(x)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int_{x}^{0}\nu_{1}(t)dt,$$

$$u\left[\theta_{1}^{*}\left(x\right)\right] = u\left[\frac{x+k_{1}}{2}, \frac{k_{1}-x}{2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\tau_{1}(k_{1}) + \tau_{1}(x)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int_{x}^{k_{1}}\nu_{1}(t)dt.$$
(2.5)

By (1.10), (2.1), (2.2) from (1.6), (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) it follows that

$$\tau_1(0) = \tau_2(0) = 0, \tau(k_1) = \tau(k_2) = 0.$$
 (2.6)

Substituting (2.4), (2.5) into (1.6) and (1.8), taking (2.1) and (2.6) into account, respectively, we have

$$[a_1(x) + 2b_1(x)]\tau_1(x) - \int_0^x \nu_1(t)dt = 2c_1(x), \quad (x,0) \in \bar{J}_1 , \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & {}^{k}1 \\ Z & Z \end{array}$$

$$(1 - \mu_1)\tau_1(x) + v_1(t)dt = \mu_1 v_1(t)dt + 2\delta_1(x), (x, 0) \in J_2.(2.8)$$

x x

of a different family for a parabolic-hyperbolic equation 5

Differentiating (2.7) and (2.8) with *x* respect to $\mu_1 6= 1$, respectively, we obtain the functional relation between $\tau_1(x)$ and $v_1(x)$, brought from the domain D_2 on the J_1 and J_2 , which have the forms

$$\nu_1(x) - d_1(x)\tau'_1(x) - d'_1(x)\tau_1(x) = -2c'_1(x), (x,0) \in J_1$$
(2.9)

and

$$v_1(x) - \tau_1^0(x) = -2^{\delta 0} (x)/(1 - (x,0)) \in (2.10)$$

 μ_1 , J_2 ,

where $d_1(x) = a_1(x) + 2b_1(x)$.

Similarly, using the solution

$$u(x;y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tau_2 \left(x + y \right) + \tau_2 \left(y - x \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x+y}^{y-x} \nu_2 \left(t \right) dt$$
(2.11)

of the Cauchy problems (see [6] and [7]) with the initial date (2.2) for equation (1.1) in the domain considering (2.10), (1.7) and (1.9) we obtain the functional relation between $\tau_2(y)$ and $v_2(y)$, brought from the domain D_3 on the I_1 and I_2 :

$$\nu_{2}(y) - d_{2}(y)\tau_{2}'(y) - d'_{2}(y)\tau_{2}(y) = -2c'_{2}(y), (0, y) \in I_{1}$$
(2.12)

and

$$\nu_2(y) - \tau'_2(y) = -2\delta'_2(y)/(1-\mu_2), \quad (0,y) \in I_2$$
(2.13)

respectively, where $d_2(y) = a_2(y) + 2b_2(y)$.

According to the conditions of the BS-problem, passing to the limit as $y \rightarrow +0$ in equation (1.1), we obtain the functional relation between $\tau_1(x)$ and $v_1(x)$, brought from the domain D_1 on *J*:

$$\tau^{00}{}_{1}(x) = v_{1}(x), \ (x,0) \in J.$$
(2.14)

Solution of the first boundary value problem with conditions $u(x,+0) = \tau_1(x)$, $(x,0) \in J, u^-(+0,y) = \tau_2(y), (0,y) \in I^-$ and (1.5) for equation (1.1) in domain D_1 has the form [8], [9]:

$$\begin{split} u\,(x,y) &= \int_{0}^{y} G_{\xi}\,(x,y;0,\eta)\,\tau_{2}\,(\eta)\,d\eta + \int_{0}^{y} G_{\xi}\,(x,y;1,\eta)\,\varphi_{1}\,(\eta)\,d\eta + \\ 1\\ \mathbf{Z} \end{split}$$

+
$$G(x, y; \xi, 0) \tau_1(\xi) d\xi,$$
 (2.15)

0

where

$$G(x,y;\,\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(y-\eta)}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(x-\xi+2n)^2}{4(y-\eta)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+\xi+2n)^2}{4(y-\eta)}} \right\}$$
 is

Green's function of the first boundary value problem for the equation $u_{xx} - u_y =$

0.

Differentiating (2.15) with respect to *x*, we obtain *yy* Z Z $u_x(x,y) = G_{\xi x}(x,y; 0,\eta)\tau_2(\eta)d\eta + G_{\xi x}(x,y; 1,\eta)\tau_3(\eta)d\eta +$ 0 0 1 Z $+ G_x(x,y; \xi, 0)\tau_1(\xi)d\xi,$ (2.16) 0

-

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\xi x}\left(x,y;\ 0,\eta\right) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq0}}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{y-\eta} - \frac{\left(x+2n\right)^{2}}{2\left(y-\eta\right)^{2}}\right] e^{-\frac{\left(x+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\left(x+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)^{2}}}\right], \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

$$G_{\xi x}(x,y;\ 1,\eta) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq0}}^{+\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2\left(y-\eta\right)} - \frac{\left(x-1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{\left(x+1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} \right\} = \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2\left(y-\eta\right)} - \frac{\left(x+1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{\left(x+1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} \right\} = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} e^{-\frac{\left(x-1\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\left(x-1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} e^{-\frac{\left(x+1\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\left(y-\eta\right)} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\left(x+1+2n\right)^{2}}{4\left(y-\eta\right)}} \right], \quad (2.18) \end{aligned}$$

$$G_x(x,y;\ \xi,\ 0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi y}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x+2n)^2 + \xi^2}{4y}} \left[\frac{\xi}{y} ch2\xi(x+2n) - \frac{x+n}{y} sh2\xi(x+2n)\right]$$
(2.19)

Using the formula (2.16) and making integration by parts, taking into account (2.17), (2.18) and (2.6), owing $to_{z\to 0}^{\lim z^{-\sigma}e^{-1/z}} = 0$, $(\sigma > 0)$, we have

$$u_x(x,y) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y \frac{\tau_2'(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y \frac{\tau_2'(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x+2n)^2}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y \frac{\tau_2'(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} d\eta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y \frac{\tau_2'(\eta)}{\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y \frac{\tau_2'(\eta)}$$

https://t.me/goldenbrain_journal

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} e^{-\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-1+2n)^{2}}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} e^{-\frac{(x+1)^{2}}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x+1+2n)^{2}}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi y}} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(x+2n)^{2}+\xi^{2}}{4y}} \left[\frac{\xi}{y} ch2\xi(x+2n) - \frac{x+n}{y} sh2\xi(x+2n) \right] \tau_{1}(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$(2.20)$$

According to the conditions of the problem, passing to the limit as $x \rightarrow +0$ in (2.20) considering (4), (2.2) and the identities:

$$\sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(2n-1)^2}{4(y-\eta)^2}} = \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^2}{4(y-\eta)}} = e^{-\frac{1}{4(y-\eta)}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^2}{4(y-\eta)}},$$

we obtain the functional relation between $\tau_2(y)$ and $v_2(y)$, brought from the domain D_1 by I:

$$\nu_{2}(y) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\tau_{2}'(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} d\eta - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{K_{1}(y,\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} \tau_{2}'(\eta) d\eta + F_{1}(y,\varphi_{1}',\tau_{1}),$$
(2.21)

where

$$K_{1}(y,\eta) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{n^{2}}{y-\eta}},$$

$$F_{1}(y,\varphi'_{1},\tau_{1}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} e^{-\frac{1}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\varphi'_{1}(\eta)}{\sqrt{y-\eta}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(1+2n)^{2}}{4(y-\eta)}} d\eta + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi y}} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{4n^{2}+t^{2}}{4y}} \left[\frac{t}{y} ch4tn - \frac{n}{y} sh4tn \right] \tau_{1}(t) dt.$$

$$(2.23)$$

3 Investigation of the BS-problem

The following theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.1. If conditions (1.10) - (1.12) are satisfied, then in the domain D there exists a unique regular solution of the BS-problem.

Proof. Excluding $v_1(x)$ from the relations (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) owing to the gluing condition (1.4) and conditions (1.5), (1.6), (1.8), $u|_{x=0} = \tau_2(y)$ considering also (1.10), (2.1), (2.6) we obtain following problems:

$$\tau_{1}^{\prime\prime}(x) - d_{1}(x)\tau_{1}^{\prime}(x) - d_{1}^{\prime}(x)\tau_{1}(x) = -2c_{1}^{\prime}(x), (x,0) \in J_{1}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tau_{1}(0) \equiv \tau_{2}(0) = \tau_{1}(k_{1}) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and $\phi_{3}(0) = 0,$

$$\tau_{1}^{\prime\prime}(x) - \tau_{1}^{\prime}(x) = -\frac{2}{1-\mu_{1}}\delta_{1}^{\prime}(x), \quad (x,0) \in J_{2}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\tau_{1} \qquad \tau_{1}(1) = \phi_{1}(0). \quad (3.4)$$

 $(k_1) = 0,$

The solution of (3.1) satisfying the first conditions (3.2) can be an equivalent way reduced in to the Volterra integral equation of the second kind with respect to $\tau'_1(x)$:

$$\tau_1'(x) - \int_0^x M_1(x,t)\tau_1'(t) dt = \Phi_1(x), \quad (x,0) \in \bar{J}_1$$
, (3.5)

where

хZ

$$M_1(x,t) = d_1(t) + d_1(z)dz, \Phi_1(x) = -2c_1(x) + \tau^0_1(0).$$

t

From this, by virtue of (1.12), we conclude that

$$\Phi_1(x) \in C \ [0, k_1] \ C^2(0, k_1), M_1(x, t) \in C \ ([0, k_1] \times [0, k_1]).$$
(3.6)

According to the theory of Volterra type integral equations of the second kind, we conclude that the integral equation (3.5) is uniquely solvable in the class $C[0,k_1]$ $\cap C^2(0,k_1)$ and its solution is given by the formula

$$\tau_1'(x) = \Phi_1(x) + \int_0^\infty \tilde{M}_1(x,t) \Phi_1(t) dt, \quad (x,0) \in \bar{J}_1 , \qquad (3.7)$$

where $M_1(x,t)$ is resolvent- kernel of $M_1(x,t)$.

Integrating (3.7) from 0 to *x* considering $\tau_1(0) = 0$, we have

$$\tau_1(x) = \int_0^x \Phi_1(t)dt + \int_0^x dt \int_0^t \tilde{M}_1(t,z)\Phi_1(z)dz, \quad (x,0) \in \bar{J}_1(3.8)$$

Based on (3.6), from (3.8) we conclude that

$$\tau_1(x) \in C^1(\bar{J}_1) \bigcap C^2(J_1).$$
 (3.9)

Now, putting in (3.8) $x = k_1$ owing to $\tau_1(k_1) = 0$ and the form of the function $\Phi_1(x)$, we find an unknown constant $\tau_1^0(0)$:

$$\tau'_{1}(0) = \frac{2\left[\int_{0}^{k_{1}} c_{1}(t)dt + \int_{0}^{k_{1}} dt \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{M}_{1}(t,z)c_{1}(z)dz\right]}{k_{1} + \int_{0}^{k_{1}} dt \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{M}_{1}(t,z)dz} \qquad (3.10)$$

Based on (1.11), it follows that the resolvent-kernel is also positive, i.e. $M_1(x,t) > 0$, $\forall x,t \in [0,k_1]$. Hence, the denominator of formula

(3.10) for any $0 \le x \le k_1, 0 \le t \le k_1$ does not vanish, that is

$$k_1 + \int_0^{k_1} dt \int_0^t \tilde{M}_1(t, z) dz > 0$$

Solving the problems (3.3) and (3.4), we represent in the form

$$\tau_1(x) = c_0(e^x - e^{k_1}) - \frac{2}{1 - \mu_1} \left[\int_{k_1}^x e^{x - t} \delta'_1(t) \, dt - \delta_1(x) + \delta_1(k_1) \right], (x, 0) \in \bar{J}_2$$
(3.11),

where

$$c_{0} = \frac{\frac{2}{1-\mu_{1}} \left[\int_{k_{1}}^{1} e^{1-t} \delta'_{1}(t) dt - \delta_{1}(1) + \delta_{1}(k_{1}) \right] + \varphi_{1}(0)}{e - e^{k_{1}}}.$$

By virtue (1.12), from (3.11) we conclude that

$$\tau_1(x) \in C^1(J_2) C^2(J_2).$$
(3.12)

Supplying (3.8) and (3.11) into (2.9) and (2.10) respectively, considering (1.12),

(1.13), (3.9), (3.12) we define the function $v_1(x)$ from the class

$$\nu_1(x) \in C(\bar{J}_1) \cap C^1(J_1) \text{ and } \nu_1(x) \in C(\bar{J}_2) \cap C^1(J_2).$$
 (3.13)

Eliminating $v_2(y)$ and considering (4), (1.11), (2.6), from (2.12), (2.21) and

(2.13), (2.21) respectively, we obtain the integral equation with respect to $\tau'_2(y)$:

$$\tau_{2}'(y) + \int_{0}^{y} K_{2}(y,\eta)\tau_{2}'(\eta) \, d\eta = F_{2}(y), (0,y) \in I_{1}$$
(3.14)

and

$$\tau_{2}'(y) + \int_{0}^{y} K_{3}(y,\eta)\tau_{2}'(\eta) \, d\eta = F_{3}(y), (0,y) \in I_{2}$$
, (3.15)

where

(3.17)
$$K_{2}(y,t) = \frac{d'_{2}(y)}{d_{2}(y)} + \frac{1 + K_{1}(y,t)}{d_{2}(y) \cdot \sqrt{\pi(y-t)}} , \quad (3.16)$$

$$F_{2}(y) = [2c^{0}_{2}(y) - F_{1} \qquad (3.18)$$

$$(y,\phi^{0}_{1},\tau_{1})]/d_{2}(y)$$

$$F_{3}(y) = 2\delta^{0}_{2}(y)/(1-\mu_{2}) + F_{1}$$

$$(y,\phi^{0}_{1},\tau_{1}). \qquad (3.19)$$

Based on $\lim_{z\to 0} z^{-\sigma} e^{-1/z} = 0$ for any fixed $\sigma > 0$, considering (1.11), (1.12), (1.13), (3.9), (3.12) we conclude that

1) $K_2(y,t)$ is continuously in $\{(y,t) : 0 \le t < y \le k_2\}$ and with $y \to t$ admits an estimate

$$|K_2(y,t)| \le const(y-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$
 (3.20)

2) $K_3(y,t)$ is continuously in $\{(y,t) : k_2 \le t \le y \le h\}$ and with $y \to t$ admits an estimate

$$|K_3(y,t)| \le const(y-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$
 (3.21)

3)

$$F_2(y) \in C[0,k_2] \cap C^2(0,k_2) \text{ and } F_3(y) \in C[k_2,h] \cap C^2(k_2,h).$$
 (3.22)

Thus, taking (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) into account, equation (3.14) and (3.15) are Volterra type integral equations of the second kind with a weak singularity.

According to the theory of Volterra type integral equations of the second kind [10], we conclude that the integral equations (3.14) and (3.15) are uniquely solvable in the class $C[0,k_2]\cap C^2(0,k_2)$ and $C[k_2,h]\cap C^2(k_2,h)$, respectively, and their solution is given by

$$\tau'_{2}(y) = F_{2}(y) - \int_{0}^{y} \tilde{K}_{2}(y,t)F_{2}(t) dt, (0,y) \in \bar{I}_{1}$$
(3.23)

and

$$\tau'_{2}(y) = F_{3}(y) - \int_{0}^{y} \tilde{K}_{3}(y,t)F_{2}(t) dt, (0,y) \in \bar{I}_{2}$$
, (3.24)

where $K_j(y,t)$ resolvent- kernel of $K_j(y,t)(j = 2,3)$.

Using by $\tau_2(0) = 0$, $\tau_2(k_2) = 0$ from (3.23) and (3.24) we find the function $\tau_2(y)$:

$$\tau_{2}(y) = \int_{0}^{y} \left\{ F_{2}(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{K}_{2}(t,z) F_{2}(z) dz \right\} dt, (0,y) \in \bar{I}_{1}$$
(3.25)

and

$$\tau_2(y) = \int_{k_2}^{y} \left\{ F_3(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{K}_3(t,z) F_3(z) dz \right\} dt, (0,y) \in \bar{I}_2$$
(3.26)

and it belongs to the class

 $\tau_2(y) \in C^1[0,k_2] \cap C^2(0,k_2) \text{ and } \tau_2(y) \in C^1[k_2,h] \cap C^2(k_2,h).$ (3.27)

Substituting (3.27) into (2.12) and (2.13) owing to (4), (1.12), (1.13), (2.2), (3.25), (3.26) we define that the function $v_2(y)$ from the class

 $v_2(y) \in C[0, k_1] \setminus C^1(0, k_1) \text{ and } v_2(y) \in C[k_2, h] \cap C^1(k_2, h).$ (3.28)

Thus, the solution of the BS-problem can be restored in domain D_1 as a solution of the first boundary-value problem for equation (1.1) (see (2.15)),

and in domains D_j (j = 2,3) as a solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1.1).

Thus, the BS-problem is uniquely solvable.

The theorem is proved. References

1. Tricomi F. On linear partial differential equations of the second order of the mixed type M.-L .: State. those. Published 1947. 192 p.

2. Bitsadze A.V. To the theory of nonlocal boundary value problems. // "Dokladi AN USSR". 1984. V.227. No. 1. P.17.

3. KDzhuraev T.D., Sopuyev A., Mamajonov. M. Boundary value problems for equations of parabolic-hyperbolic type. T .: "Fan". 1986. 220 p.

4. Zolina L.A. On the boundary-value problem for the model equation of hyperbolicparabolic type. // "ZhVM and MF". 1966. Vol. 6. No. 6. P. 991-1001.

5. Bzhihatlov Kh.G., Nakhushev A.M. On a boundary-value problem for equation mixed parabolic-hyperbolic type. // "Dokladi AN USSR". 1968. T. 183. N 2. P. 261-264.

6. Tikhinov A.N. Samarsky A.A. Equations of mathematical physics. M .: The science. 1977. 736 p.

7. Egamberdiev U. On some boundary value problems for a mixed parabolichyperbolic equation with two lines of type change. // In the book: "Boundary-value problems in the mechanics of continuous media". T: Fan. 1982. P. 117-128.

8. Dzhuraev T.D. Boundary value problems for mixed and mixedcompound equations. Publishing house. FAN. 1979. -240 p.

9. Polzhiy G.N. Equations of mathematical physics. M .: High School. 1964. 560 p.

10. Mikhlin S.G. Lectures on linear integral equations. M .: Fizmatgiz. 1959. 232 p.